1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ROBERTS,	No. 2:21-cv-0714 DMC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	
14	OFFICER RICHER, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, Plaintiff	
19	alleges that Defendants violated his civil rights. The alleged violations occurred in Kern County,	
20	which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
21	California. See Local Rule 120(d).	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the	
23	proper division of a court may, on the Court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of	
24	the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the Court. In light of	
25	1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this Court will not rule on Plaintiff's request to proceed in	
26	forma pauperis.	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;	
3	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
4	District of California sitting in Fresno; and	
5	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall	
6	be filed at:	
7	United States District Court Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street	
8	Fresno, CA 93721	
9		
10	Dated: April 30, 2021	
11	DENNIS M. COTA	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14	/kly robe 21cv0714.22	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		